CROSSTOWN WALK – GROUP PERFORMANCE GROUP:	
PUNCTUALITY: If late (-2.5 [10%] per day)	
STRUCTURE, FORMAT & CONTENT (20 max):	
Typed and formatted appropriately - (0-3)  Margins and font appropriate, pages numbered (3)  Either margins or font appropriate or pages unnumbered (2)  Two of three inappropriate (1)  Entirely inappropriate (0)	
Abstract - (0-5)  Complete and clear description (4-5) Incomplete, but reasonably clear (2-3) Incomplete and unclear (1) Missing (0)	
Introduction (including hypotheses, both (null and alternative) (0-5) Introduction complete with reasonable hypothesis (4-5) Incomplete or unreasonable hypothesis (2-3) Incomplete and unreasonable hypothesis (1) Missing (0)	
Methods - (0-5)  Complete and clear description (4-5) Incomplete, but reasonably clear (2-3) Incomplete and unclear (1) Missing (0)	
Results (including submission of electronic copy of data) - (0-5)  Complete and clearly organized (4-5)  Incomplete, but reasonably clearly organized (2-3)  Incomplete and not clearly organized (1)  Missing (0)	
Table presenting descriptive statistics for each group (0-3)  Complete and correct table (3)  Incomplete or incorrect table (2)  Incomplete and incorrect table (1)  Missing (0)	
Figure and/or histograms (0-3)  Figure neat, complete, understandable, with appropriate descriptors, and entitled and labeled appropriately (3)  Figure missing two or more of the above criteria (2)  Figure missing three or more of the above criteria (1)  Missing (0)	

Statistical analysis (0-3)  Complete and correct analysis (3)  Incomplete or incorrect analysis (2)  Incomplete and incorrect analysis (1)  Missing (0)	
Discussion - (0-10)	
Complete and clear description and interpretation of the results, including statistical analysis and incorporation of other studies (9-10)  Missing either description, interpretation, stats, or incorporation (7-8)  Missing two of these, but otherwise written (5-6)  Missing three, but otherwise written (3-4)  Missing four and/or poorly written (1-2)  Not provided (0)	
Bibliographic reference (insert # required here) - (0-5)  References from reputable scientific journals, appropriate to the topic and formatted correctly (4-5)  Reference not from a reputable scientific, not appropriate to the topic, or not correctly formatted (2-3)  Reference not a reputable scientific journal, not appropriate to the topic, and not correctly formatted (1)  Missing (0)	
WRITING (5 max):	
Writing style and grammar - (0-3) Well-written (3) Moderately well-written (2) Poorly written (0)	
TOTAL (50 max):	

**COMMENTS:**